Modelling of a compact anisotropic star as an anisotropic fluid sphere in $f(T)$ gravity

D. Momeni [a], G. Abbas[b], S. Qaisar [c], Zaid Zaz[d], R. Myrzakulov [a]
[a] *Eurasian International Centre for Theoretical Physics and Department of General & Theoretical Physics, Eurasian National University, Astana 010008, Kazakhstan*
[b] *Department of Mathematics, The Islamia University of BahwalPur, Pakistan*
[c] *Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology Sahiwal-57000, Pakistan*
[d] *Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, University of Kashmir, Srinagar, Kashmir-190006, India.*

arXiv:1611.03727v1 [gr-qc] 10 Nov 2016

In this paper, we have studied the new exact model of anisotropic star in $f(T)$ theory of gravity. The dynamical equations in $f(T)$ theory with the anisotropic fluid have been solved by using Krori-Barua solution. We have determined that all the obtained solutions are free from central singularity and potentially stable. The observed values of mass and radius of the different strange stars RX J 1856-37, Her X-1, and Vela X-12 have been used to calculate the values of unknown constants in Krori and Barua metric. The physical parameters like anisotropy, stability and redshift of the stars have been investigated in detail.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 04.70.Dy.

I. INTRODUCTION

General Relativity (GR) is a classical guage theory to describe long range interaction between gravitational objects. It alone can also account for the accelerated expansion of the universe using a fine- tuned cosmological constant term Λ[1]-[5] or quintessence scalar field as dark energy [6],[7] or quintom fields [8]. However the existance of dark energy requires the introduction of additional fluids capable of dominating over the standard pressure less matter [9]. There is a simpler course to get around dark energy and to consistently explain the accelrated expansion. This is done by considering a cosmological constant. However the necessary expected value of the cosmological constant must be extremely larger than the observed value. This is due to quantum considerations [10]. There however is an internally consistent approach to account for the accelerated expansion of the universe. This is based on addressing the cosmic dynamics by exploring further degrees of freedom of the gravitational field. In other words to account for the accelerated dynamics of the universe, the Einstien Hilbert action of general relativity is modified [11]-[13]. Recently a different but interesting modification introduced as Gravitys Rainbow models [14] as an extension of the doubly special relativity theory [15], in which several interesting features have been studied like absence of effective horizon in black holes, black rings, mini black holes, gravitational collapse , brane solutions and others [16].

An extensively studied approach to modified gravity stems from the "Teleparallel equivalent to General Relativity" (TEGR) [17],[18]. It is noteworthy that unlike general relativity to explain the gravitational effects TEGR uses an anti symmetric connection equipped with a non-vanishing torsion and a zero curvature (Weitzenbock connection). In other words TEGR uses torsion to explain gravitational effects unlike General relativity which uses curvature. It may be moted that in order to define the Weitzenbock connection in TEGR tetrad fields are used as dynamical variables [19].

Using TEGR a straight forward modification of the Einstien Hilbert action may be performed by replacing the scalar torsion with an arbitrary smoooth function of the scalar torsion $f(T)$. This class of teleparallel theories is known as $f(T)$ gravity (see [20] and refrences in for a comprehensive review). Accelerated expansion of the cosmic Hubble parameter as well as dark matter can be explained using $f(T)$ gravity [21]-[48].

In gravitational theories, localized and positive valued mass densities could suddenly collapse, emerging thermodynamics that can produce a hydrodynamic pressure impose on the mass distribution. If the collapse of the matter distribution is continued then mass contents are transformed into a compact star. The compact star has a finite size $R \sim 10Km$ and a huge amount of mass of order of solar mass $M \sim 1.4M_{\odot}$ [49]. A type of compact star is neutron star, it has very strong surface gravity and conequently a strongly coupled regime of thermodynamics. A way to detect these massive objects is by looking at the Doppler shift in spectral lines emitted by atoms in the surface of the candidated stars. One can find not much gravity on the earth, more on the compact star because surface gravity of such stars is of order $\kappa = 2 \times 10^{11}$. Except than this big surface gravity, there is a greater difference between individual plants which makes the species and neutron stars. A reason is that the gravitational field from compact

stars, have both electric and magnetic components and contains a definite amount of electromagnetic energy and thanks to some basic parameters, for example emission above-ground level,the electromagnetic effects are stronger than thermodynamic effects. It was demonstrated that the thermodynamic parameters, namely pressure p, energy density ρ, the mass function M and radius R are related according to a set of *state* equations, called as Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equations in GR [50]-[52]. TOV equation is a set of first order, non linear differential equations for a spherically symmetric metric which is filled with the perfect fluid with pressure and energy density. Recently TOV equations and dynamics of neutron stars have been investigated for different types of modified gravity models from $f(R)$, $f(G)$ and $f(T)$ (T is torsion) numerically and also in a non-perturbative scheme [53]- [68].

The compact astrophysical objects such as black holes [69], wormholes [70],[71] and compact stars have been extensively studied withtin the framework of teleparallel gravity [72]-[79]. In fact compact stars have been theoritically modelled within the framework of $f(T)$ gravity. Their anisotropic behavior, regularity conditions, stability and surface redshift have been thorughly investigated. In this work we shall attempt to model anisotropic compact stars as fluid spheres within the framework of $f(T)$ gravity. We have analysed our model for anisotropic behaviour, stablity and surface redshift.

Our plan in this paper is the following scheme: In Sec. (II) we present $f(T)$ theory as an alternative theory for gravity. In Sec. (III) we derive equations of motion for a spherically symmetric star. In Sec. (IV) we study an isotropic model of compact star using astrophysical data. We conclude and summarize in Sec. (V). Some preliminary formulae are presented in Sec. (VI).

II. THE TELEPARALLEL EQUIVALENT OF GENERAL RELATIVITY

To begin with $f(T)$ theory, to keep away from any perplexity, let us characterize the notion of the latin subscript i, j as those related to the tetrad field θ^i_μ and greek μ, ν one identified with the space time coordinates. The line element of the manifold is given by

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{1}$$

The above metric can be rewritten by the tetrads basis,defined by

$$dS^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{ij} \theta^i_\mu \theta^j_\nu dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{2}$$

$$dx^\mu = e^\mu_i \theta^i, \theta^i = e^i_\mu dx^\mu, \tag{3}$$

where $\eta_{ij} = diag[1, -1, -1, -1]$ and $e^\mu_i e^\nu_i = \delta^\mu_\nu$ or $e^\mu_i e^\nu_j = \delta^j_i$.
The root of the metric determinant is given by $\sqrt{-g} = det[e^i_\mu] = e$. The Weitzenböck's anti-symmetric connection components in Weitzenböck's spacetime [78] for vanishing Riemann tensor part and non-vanishing torsion term are defined as

$$\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = e^\alpha_i \partial_\nu e^i_\mu = -e^\mu_i \partial_\nu e^\alpha_i \tag{4}$$

The torsion and the contorsion are defined by

$$T^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\alpha_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = e^\alpha_i (\partial_\mu e^i_\nu - \partial_\nu e^i_\mu) \tag{5}$$

$$K^{\mu\nu}_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}(T^{\mu\nu}_\alpha - T^{\nu\mu}_\alpha - T^{\mu\nu}_\alpha) \tag{6}$$

and the components of the tensor $S^{\mu\nu}_\alpha$ as

$$S^{\mu\nu}_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(K^{\mu\nu}_\alpha + \delta^\mu_\alpha T^{\beta\nu}_\beta - \delta^\nu_\alpha T^{\beta\mu}_\beta). \tag{7}$$

Here, torsion scalar is

$$T = T^\alpha_{\mu\nu} S^{\mu\nu}_\alpha \tag{8}$$

Analogous to $f(R)$ gravity, the action for $f(T)$ gravity is

$$S[e^i_\mu, \Phi_A] = \int d^4x e [\frac{1}{16\pi} f(T) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}(\Phi_A)], \tag{9}$$

in the above action $G = c = 1$ have been used and the $\mathcal{L}_{matter}(\Phi_A)$ is matter field. The variation of the above action provide the following field equations in $f(T)$ gravity ,

$$S_\mu^{\nu\rho}\partial_\rho T f_{TT} + [e^{-1}e_\mu^i\partial_\rho(ee_i^\alpha S_\alpha^{\nu\rho}) + T_{\lambda\mu}^\alpha S_\alpha^{\nu\lambda}]f_T + \frac{1}{4}\delta_\mu^\nu f = 4\pi\mathcal{T}_\mu^\nu, \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_\mu^\nu$ is matter. In the present case, we take the matter as anisotropic fluid for which energy-momentum tensor is

$$\mathcal{T}_\mu^\nu = (\rho + p_t)u_\mu u^\nu - p_t\delta_\mu^\nu + (p_r - p_t)v_\mu v^\nu, \tag{11}$$

where u^μ and v^μ are the four-velocity and radial-four vectors, respectively. Further, p_r and p_t are pressures along radial and tansverse directions.

III. MODEL OF ANISOTROPIC COMPACT STARS IN GENERALIZED TELLEPARALLEL GRAVITY

We assume the geometry of star in the form of static spherically symmetric spacetime is given

$$ds^2 = e^{a(r)}dt^2 - e^{b(r)}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2). \tag{12}$$

We introduce the tetrad matrix for (12) as follows:

$$[e_\mu^i] = diag[e^{\frac{a(r)}{2}}, e^{\frac{b(r)}{2}}, r, rsin(\theta)]. \tag{13}$$

One can obtain $e = det[e_\mu^i] = e^{\frac{(a+b)}{2}}r^2sin(\theta)$, and we determine the torsion scalar and its derivative in terms of r as

$$T(r) = \frac{2e^{-b}}{r}(a' + \frac{1}{r}), \tag{14}$$

$$T'(r) = \frac{2e^{-b}}{r}(a'' + \frac{1}{r^2} - T(b' + \frac{1}{r})), \tag{15}$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to r. The set of equations for an anisotropic fluid as [70],[71]

$$4\pi\rho = \frac{f}{4} - \frac{f_T}{2}\left(T - \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{e^{-b}}{r}(a' + b')\right), \tag{16}$$

$$4\pi p_r = \frac{f_T}{2}\left(T - \frac{1}{r^2}\right) - \frac{f}{4}, \tag{17}$$

$$4\pi p_t = \left[\frac{T}{2} + e^{-b}\left(\frac{a''}{2} + (\frac{a'}{4} + \frac{1}{2r})(a' - b')\right)\right]\frac{f_T}{2} - \frac{f}{4}, \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{cot\theta}{2r^2}T' f_{TT} = 0. \tag{19}$$

Further, the last equation leads to the the following linear form of $f(T)$:

$$f(T) = \beta T + \beta_1, \tag{20}$$

where β and β_1 are integration constants. We parameterize the metric as the following:

$$e^{a(r)} = (1 + br^2)^2, e^{b(r)} = \left(A + \frac{B(2 + br^2)^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{b}}\right)^2 \tag{21}$$

where b is a constant, the arbitrary constants A, B and b can be evaluated by using some physical matching conditions. Now using equations given in (16-19), we will get forms of matter components given in equations (38-43) in appendix Sec. (VI).

The behavior of density, radial and transverse pressures and equation of state (EoS) parameters are given in figures **1-5** for a strange star candidate RX J 1856-37, Her X-1, and Vela X-12, respectively. In this case EoS parameters have values $\omega_t > 0$ and $0 < \omega_r >$, which shows the fact that star consists of ordinary matter and effect of $f(T)$ model in the present setup.



Figure 1: First, second and third graphs represent the density variation of Strange star candidate RX J 1856-37, Her X-1, and Vela X-12, respectively.



Figure 2: First, second and third graphs represent the radial pressure variation of Strange star candidate RX J 1856-37, Her X-1, and Vela X-12, respectively.

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE PROPOSED MODEL

Here, we discuss the following properties of the proposed model:

A. Anisotropic Behavior

From Eqs.(38-43), we get the radial gradient of pressures . We compute second order derivatives $\frac{d^2\rho}{dr^2}$. We observe that at center $r = 0$, our model provides that

$$\frac{d\rho}{dr} = 0, \quad \frac{dp_r}{dr} = 0 \tag{22}$$

$$\frac{d^2\rho}{dr^2} < 0, \quad \frac{d^2p_r}{dr^2} < 0. \tag{23}$$

This indicate maximality of radial pressure and density. This fact implies that ρ and p_r are decreasing function of r as shown in figures **1,2** for a class of strange stars.

The measure of anisotropy is

$$\Delta = \frac{2}{r}(p_t - p_r),$$



Figure 3: First, second and third graphs represent the transverse pressure variation of Strange star candidate RX J 1856-37, Her X-1, and Vela X-12, respectively.

Figure 4: First, second and third graphs represent the EOS parameter ω_r variation of Strange star candidate RX J 1856-37, Her X-1, and Vela X-12 , respectively.



Figure 5: First, second and third graphs represent the EOS parameter ω_t variation of Strange star candidate Cen-X-3, RX J 1856-37 and Her X-1, respectively.



Figure 6: All these graphs has been plotted only for the data of 4U 1820-30.



Figure 7: First, second and third graphs represent the variation of anisotropy Δ for Strange star candidate Her X-1, SAX J 1808.4-3658(SS1) and 4U 1820-30, respectively.

which takes the form given in Eq. (44).

It is well known that anisotropy will be directed outward when $p_t > p_r$ i.e., $\Delta > 0$, and inward when $p_t < p_r$ i.e., $\Delta < 0$. It is apparent from the figure **7** that for our model that a repulsive (anisotropic) force would exists as $(\Delta > 0)$ (for smaller values of r) which permits the formation of super massive star, while for larger values of r, $\Delta = 0$, where a star comes to the equilibrium position.

The anisotropy will be directed outward when $p_t > p_r$ this implies that $\Delta > 0$ and directed inward when $p_t < p_r$ implying $\Delta > 0$. In this case $\Delta > 0$, for larger values of r for a class of strange stars as shown in figures **7**. This implies that anisotropic force allows the construction of more massive stars.

In order to comprehend some general results associated with the strong gravitational fields, we include weak energy condition (WEC), null energy condition (NEC), strong energy condition (SEC) and dominant energy condition (DEC). For an anisotropic fluid, these are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textbf{NEC}: && \rho + p_r \geq 0, \quad \rho + p_t \geq 0,\\
&\textbf{WEC}: && \rho \geq 0, \quad \rho + p_r \geq 0, \quad \rho + p_t \geq 0,\\
&\textbf{SEC}: && \rho + p_r \geq 0, \quad \rho + p_t \geq 0, \quad \rho + p_r + 2p_t \geq 0,\\
&\textbf{DEC}: && \rho > |p_r|, \quad \rho > |p_t|.
\end{aligned}$$

We find that our model satisfies these conditions .

B. Matching of interior and exterior spacetime

The intrinsic metric of the boundary surface will be the same whether it is derived from the internal or external geometry of the star. It proves that for any well defined coordinate system, the metric tensor components will be continuous across the boundary surface. for this purpose the matching conditions are equested for the interior metric . In this case, one can solve the system of field equations with auxiliary boundary condition $p_r(r = R) = 0$. It is needed to match smoothly the interior metric to an exterior Schwarzschild metric given by

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2). \tag{24}$$

At the surface of star, $r = R$ continuity of the metric functions g_{tt}, g_{rr} and $\frac{\partial g_{tt}}{\partial r}$ at the boundary surface yield,

$$g_{tt}^{-} = g_{tt}^{+}, \qquad g_{rr}^{-} = g_{rr}^{+}, \qquad \frac{\partial g_{tt}^{-}}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial g_{tt}^{+}}{\partial r}, \tag{25}$$

where $-$ and $+$, correspond to interior and exterior solutions. By matching the interior solution (12) and exterior solution (**??**) at the boundary surface $r = r_c$, we obtain following three equations

$$e^{a_c} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r_c} = \left(A + \frac{B\left(2 + br^2\right)^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{b}}\right)^2, \tag{26}$$

$$e^{-b_c} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r_c} = \left(1 + br_c^2\right)^{-2} \tag{27}$$

$$p_r\left(r_c\right) = 0 \tag{28}$$

Using the boundary condition $(26-28)$ we obtain

$$\frac{A}{B}=\frac{2-4r_c^2-b^2r_c^4}{3\sqrt{b}\sqrt{2+br_c^2}} \tag{29}$$

$$A=\left(1+br_c^2\right)^{-1}-\frac{B\left(2+br_c^2\right)^{3/2}}{3\sqrt{b}} \tag{30}$$

$$\frac{2M}{r_c}=1-\left(1+br_c^2\right)^{-2} \tag{31}$$

Solving $(29-31)$ we obtain,

$$B=\frac{\sqrt{b}}{2}\frac{\sqrt{2+br_c^2}}{1+br_c^2} \tag{32}$$

$$A=\frac{2-2-4r_c^2-b^2r_c^4}{6\left(1+br_c^2\right)} \tag{33}$$

$$b=\frac{1}{r_c^2}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{2M}{r_c}}}-1\right] \tag{34}$$

Now the values of constants A, b and B for some well known compact stars are obtained in table **1**.

Table I: The values of constantsare obtained from model for some well known model compact stars

Compact Star	$Mass$	$Radius(km)$	$b(km^{-2})$	$B(km^{-1})$	A	$\frac{M}{r}$
Her X-1	$0.98M_\odot$	6.7	0.007268	0.049020	0.073950	0.215746
RX J 1856-37	$0.9031M_\odot$	6	0.009475	0.055529	0.064509	0.222012
Vela X-12	$1.77M_\odot$	9.99	0.004483	0.036184	0.001172	0.261336
Cen X-3	$1.49M_\odot$	9.51	0.004020	0.035744	0.050524	0.231098

For the given values of M and R for given star, the constants A and B are given in the table **1**. We would like to mention that the values of M and R have been taken from [80], [81], [82] to calculate the values of A and B.

C. Stability

Here, we discuss the stability of strange stars for this purpose the radial sound speed υ_{sr} and transverse sound speed υ_{st}^2 are given by

$$\upsilon_{sr}^2=\frac{dp_r}{d\rho}\equiv\frac{-\left(3\left(\begin{array}{c}108A^3b^{3/2}\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}+9A^2bB\left(1+br^2\right)\left(56+br^2\left(47+9br^2\right)\right)\\-2B^3\left(2+br^2\right)^3\left(10+br^2\left(7+br^2\left(2+br^2\right)\right)\right)\\+3A\sqrt{b}B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(4+br^2\left(41+br^2\left(28+3br^2\right)\right)\right)\end{array}\right)\right)}{2\left(2+br^2\right)\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)}$$
$$\times\left(\begin{array}{c}9A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(12+\left(1+br^2\right)\left(3+br^2\right)\beta\right)\\+B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\\\left(78-18\beta+br^2\left(\begin{array}{c}96-20\beta+\\br^2\left(30+\left(5+br^2\left(8+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\end{array}\right)\right)\\+3A\sqrt{b}B\left(3(39+\beta)+br^2\left(\begin{array}{c}126+26\beta\\+br^2\left(33+\left(37+2br^2\left(8+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\end{array}\right)\right)\end{array}\right)$$



Figure 8: First, second and third graphs represent the variation of $\upsilon_{st}^2 - \upsilon_{sr}^2$ for Strange star candidate Her X-1, SAX J 1808.4-3658(SS1) and 4U 1820-30, respectively.



Figure 9: First, second and third graphs represent the variation of surface redshift Z_s for Strange star candidate Her X-1, SAX J 1808.4-3658(SS1) and 4U 1820-30, respectively.

$$\upsilon_{st}^2 = \frac{dp_t}{d\rho}$$

$$\equiv -\frac{\left(1+br^2\right)\left(9A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3+br^2\right)+B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(-18+br^2\left(-2+br^2\left(7+br^2\right)\right)\right)\right)\beta}{\left(\begin{array}{c}9A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(12+\left(1+br^2\right)\left(3+br^2\right)\beta\right)\\+B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(78-18\beta+br^2\left(96-20\beta+br^2\left(30+\left(5+br^2\left(8+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)\right)\\+3A\sqrt{b}B\left(3(39+\beta)+br^2\left(126+26\beta+br^2\left(33+\left(37+2br^2\left(8+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)\right)\end{array}\right)}$$

$$-\frac{\left(1+br^2\right)\left(3A\sqrt{b}B\left(3+br^2\left(23+2br^2\left(7+br^2\right)\right)\right)\right)\beta}{\left(\begin{array}{c}9A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(12+\left(1+br^2\right)\left(3+br^2\right)\beta\right)\\+B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(78-18\beta+br^2\left(96-20\beta+br^2\left(30+\left(5+br^2\left(8+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)\right)\\+3A\sqrt{b}B\left(3(39+\beta)+br^2\left(126+26\beta+br^2\left(33+\left(37+2br^2\left(8+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)\right)\end{array}\right)}$$

Reference [83] developed a proposal to check the stability of anisotropic gravitating source. Currently, this technique is termed as cracking concept which states that if radial speed of sound is greater than the transverse speed of sound in a region then such a region is a potentially stable region, otherwise unstable region. In our case, figure **8** indicates that there is change of sign for the term $\upsilon_{st}^2 - \upsilon_{sr}^2$ within the specific configuration. We can see that $\mid \upsilon_{st}^2 - \upsilon_{sr}^2 \mid \leq 1$. This is used to check whether local anisotropic matter distribution is stable or not. Hence, we conclude that our strange star model is unstable.

D. Surface Redshift

The compactness of the star is given by

$$u \equiv e^{-a} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} \tag{35}$$

In our model the effective mass is given by

$$m(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho r^2 dr$$

The surface redshift (Z_s) resulting from the compactness u is obtained as

$$1 + Z_s = [1 - 2u]^{\frac{-1}{2}}, \tag{36}$$

where

$$1 + Z_s = \left[1 - \left(\frac{2b\sqrt{A}\pi\beta + \sqrt{\pi}\beta(\pi - 2A\pi r^2)erf(\sqrt{A}b) + b\pi r^2\beta_1\sqrt{A}}{4\pi\sqrt{A}}\right)\right]^{\frac{-1}{2}}. \tag{37}$$

The maximum value of the surface redshift for the compact stars is shown in figure **9**.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Different cosmological observations have been shown that our Universe undergoes two phases of accelerated expansion , early inflationary era and late time acceleration . Dark energy in the form of exotic fluid or modified gravity or cosmological constant term are widely studied for this purpose. Numerous forms of modified gravity proposed and one recently proposal is to use torsion in a modified theory , called as $f(T)$ gravity, is one of the most popular modifications of General Relativity.

The present paper deals with the investigation of analytical models of compact stars with the anisotropic gravitating static source in the framework of $f(T)$ gravity. We have considered the linear form of $f(T)$ gravity model, further the stars are assumed as anisotropic in their internal structure. The analytic solution in $f(T)$ gravity have found by matching the interior metric with the well-known exterior metric. The physical analysis of the results implies the following properties of the anisotropic compact stars in $f(T)$ gravity:

- The bound on the EoS parameters are given by $\omega_t > 0$ and $\omega_r > 0$, which is consistent with normal matter distribution in $f(T)$ gravity.
- The density and pressures attain the maximum value at the center. Thus, these are decreasing functions.
- It has been demonstrated that the anisotropy will be directed outward when $p_t > p_r$ this implies that $\Delta > 0$ and directed inward when $p_t < p_r$ implying $\Delta < 0$. In our case $\Delta > 0$, for larger values of r for various strange stars. This implies that anisotropic force favors the construction of more massive star in $f(T)$ gravity.
- The variation of $v_{st}^2 - v_{sr}^2$ of different strange stars implies that $|v_{st}^2 - v_{sr}^2| \leq 1$. Thus, our proposed strange star model is stable in $f(T)$ gravity.

VI. APPENDIX

In this appendix we present exact forms of different quatities which have been used in our analysis.

$$\rho = \frac{b\left(3A\sqrt{b}\left(4 + \left(2 + 3br^2 + b^2r^4\right)\beta\right)\right)}{8\pi\left(1 + br^2\right)^3\left(3A\sqrt{b} + B\left(2 + br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)} + \frac{B\sqrt{2 + br^2}\left(-2(-7 + \beta) + 5b^2r^4\beta + b^3r^6\beta + 2br^2(5 + \beta)\right)}{8\pi\left(1 + br^2\right)^3\left(3A\sqrt{b} + B\left(2 + br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)} + \frac{\beta}{8\pi r^2} - \frac{\beta_1}{16\pi}, \tag{38}$$

$$p_r = \frac{\left(3A\sqrt{b} + B\sqrt{2 + br^2}\left(2 + 7br^2\right)\right)\beta}{8\pi\left(r + br^3\right)^2\left(3A\sqrt{b} + B\left(2 + br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)} - \frac{\beta}{8\pi r^2} - \frac{\beta_1}{16}, \tag{39}$$

$$p_t = \frac{b\left(-18A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}+B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(4+br^2+b^2r^4\right)-3A\sqrt{b}B\left(4+br^2\left(7+br^2\right)\right)\right)}{8\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^3\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^2} + \frac{3Ab\sqrt{b}B\left(4+br^2\left(7+br^2\right)\right)}{8\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^3\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^2} - \frac{\beta_1}{16\pi}, \tag{40}$$

Also, the equation of state (EOS) parameters can be written as

$$\omega_r(r) = -\frac{\left(1+br^2\right)3A\sqrt{b}\left(2+br^2\right)\beta}{3A\sqrt{b}\left(4+\left(2+3br^2+b^2r^4\right)\beta\right)+B\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(14-2\beta+5b^2r^4\beta+b^3r^6\beta+2br^2(5+\beta)\right)} - \frac{B\left(1+br^2\right)\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(-2+4br^2+b^2r^4\right)\beta}{3A\sqrt{b}\left(4+\left(2+3br^2+b^2r^4\right)\beta\right)+B\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(14-2\beta+5b^2r^4\beta+b^3r^6\beta+2br^2(5+\beta)\right)} \tag{41}$$

$$\omega_t(r) = \frac{-18A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}+B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(4+br^2+b^2r^4\right)}{\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)} \times \frac{1}{\left(3A\sqrt{b}\left(4+\left(1+br^2\right)\left(2+br^2\right)\beta\right)+B\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(14-2\beta+br^2\left(10+\left(2+br^2\left(5+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)\right)} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)} \times \frac{3A\sqrt{b}B\left(4+br^2\left(7+br^2\right)\right)}{\left(3A\sqrt{b}\left(4+\left(1+br^2\right)\left(2+br^2\right)\beta\right)+B\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(14-2\beta+br^2\left(10+\left(2+br^2\left(5+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)\right)} \tag{42}$$

$$\frac{d^2\rho}{dr^2} = \frac{3b^2(27A^3b^{3/2}\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(-4-\beta+br^2\left(28+\left(3+br^2\left(5+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^5\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)} + \frac{B^3 3b^2\left(2+br^2\right)^3\left(-52+12\beta+br^2\left(250-62\beta+br^2\left(538-156\beta+br^2\left(338-89\beta\right)\right)\right)\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^5\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)} + \frac{B^3 3b^2\left(2+br^2\right)^3 br^2\left(70+\left(3+br^2\left(11+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^5\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)} + \frac{9b^2A\sqrt{b}B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(10(-13+\beta)+br^2\left(622-58\beta+br^2\left(1214-68\beta+3br^2\left(226+23\beta\right)\right)\right)\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^5\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)} + \frac{9b^2A\sqrt{b}B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}br^2\left(40+\left(33+br^2\left(11+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^5\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)}$$

$$+\frac{27A^2Bb^3\left(-10(11+\beta)+br^2\left(566-2\beta+br^2\left(2(521+52\beta)+3br^2\left(186+63\beta\right)\right)\right)\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^5\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)}$$

$$+\frac{27A^2Bb^4r^2\left(32+\left(41+br^2\left(11+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^5\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2p_r}{dr^2} &= -\frac{3b^2\left(27A^3b^{3/2}\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(-1+br^2\left(4+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^4\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)}\\ &-\frac{3b^2\left(3A\sqrt{b}B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(10+br^2\left(-68+3b^2r^4\left(23+br^2\left(10+br^2\right)\right)\right)\right)\beta\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^4\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)}\\ &-\frac{3b^2\left(B^3\left(2+br^2\right)^3\left(12+br^2\left(-74+br^2\left(-82+br^2\left(-7+br^2\left(10+br^2\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\beta\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^4\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)}\\ &-\frac{3b^2\left(9A^2bB\left(-10+br^2\left(8+3br^2\left(32+br^2\left(31+br^2\left(10+br^2\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\beta\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^4\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^3\right)}\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$\Delta=\frac{b\left(-18A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}+B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(4+br^2+b^2r^4\right)-3A\sqrt{b}B\left(4+br^2\left(7+br^2\right)\right)\right)}{8\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^3\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^2} \tag{44}$$

$$-\frac{\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(2+7br^2\right)\right)\beta}{8\pi\left(r+br^3\right)^2\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)}$$

$$\frac{d\rho}{dr}=-\frac{b^2r\left(9A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(12+\left(1+br^2\right)\left(3+br^2\right)\beta\right)\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^4\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^2\right)} \tag{45}$$

$$-\frac{b^2r\left(B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(78-18\beta+br^2\left(96-20\beta+br^2\left(30+\left(5+br^2\left(8+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)\right)\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^4\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^2\right)}$$

$$-\frac{3A\sqrt{b}B\left(3(39+\beta)+br^2\left(126+26\beta+br^2\left(33+\left(37+2br^2\left(8+br^2\right)\right)\beta\right)\right)\right)}{\left(4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^4\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^2\right)}$$

$$\frac{dp_r}{dr}=\frac{b^2r\left(9A^2b\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3+br^2\right)+B^2\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\left(-18+br^2\left(-2+br^2\left(7+br^2\right)\right)\right)\right)\beta}{4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^3\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^2} \tag{46}$$

$$+\frac{3A\sqrt{b}B\left(3+br^2\left(23+2br^2\left(7+br^2\right)\right)\right)\beta}{4\pi\left(1+br^2\right)^3\sqrt{2+br^2}\left(3A\sqrt{b}+B\left(2+br^2\right)^{3/2}\right)^2}$$

[1] M. Sami, Curr. Sci. **97** (2009) 887 [arXiv:0904.3445 [hep-th]].
[2] M. Sami, arXiv:0901.0756 [hep-th].
[3] M. Sami, Lect. Notes Phys. **720** (2007) 219.
[4] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15** (2006) 1753 [hep-th/0603057].
[5] M. Sami and N. Dadhich, TSPU Bulletin **44N7** (2004) 25 [hep-th/0405016].
[6] C. Q. Geng, M. W. Hossain, R. Myrzakulov, M. Sami and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 2, 023522 (2015) [arXiv:1502.03597 [gr-qc]].
[7] M. Wali Hossain, R. Myrzakulov, M. Sami and E. N. Saridakis, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **24**, no. 05, 1530014 (2015) [arXiv:1410.6100 [gr-qc]].
[8] Y. F. Cai, E. N. Saridakis, M. R. Setare and J. Q. Xia, Phys. Rept. **493**, 1 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2776 [hep-th]].
[9] S. Capozziello ,M. De Laurentis,O. Luongo, A. C. Ruggeri, Galaxies, 1, 216, (2013).
[10] J. Martin, Comptes Rendus Physique 13 566 (2012).
[11] S. Capozziello ,M. De Laurentis,O. Luongo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 24 , 1541002, (2014).
[12] H. A. Buchdahl , Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc., 150, 1, (1970).
[13] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. 10, 045, (2008).
[14] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, Class. Quant. Grav. 21 1725 (2004);J. J. Peng and S. Q. Wu, Gen. Rel. Grav. 40 2619 (2008).
[15] J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 190403 (2002) ;J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. D 71 026010 (2005) ;J. L. Cortes and J. Gamboa, Phys. Rev. D 71 065015 (2005).
[16] P. Rudra, Mir Faizal, Ahmed Farag Ali, Nucl. Phys. B 909, 725 (2016);Amani Ashour, Mir Faizal, Ahmed Farag Ali, Fayal Hammad , Eur.Phys.J. C76 (2016) no.5, 264; Ahmed Farag Ali, Mir Faizal, Barun Majumder, Ravi Mistry,Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys. 12 (2015), 1550085 ;Ahmed Farag Ali, Mir Faizal, Mohammed M. Khalil , Nucl.Phys. B894 (2015) 341-360; Ahmed Farag Ali, Mir Faizal, Mohammed M. Khalil ,Phys.Lett. B743 (2015) 295; Ahmed Farag Ali, Mir Faizal, Mohammed M. Khalil ; JHEP 1412 (2014) 159 Ahmed Farag Ali, Mir Faizal, Barun Majumder;Europhys.Lett. 109 (2015) 20001.
[17] K. Hayashi, Phys. Lett. B69, 441 (1977).
[18] K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, Phys. Rev. D 19 (1979) 3524; 24, 3312 (1981).
[19] R. Weitzenbock, Invarianten Theorie. Nordhoff, Groningen (1923).
[20] Y. F. Cai, S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and E. N. Saridakis, Rept. Prog. Phys. **79**, no. 10, 106901 (2016) [arXiv:1511.07586 [gr-qc]].
[21] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D 84, 083518 (2011).
[22] D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Astrophys. Space Sci. **360** (2015) 28 [arXiv:1511.01205 [physics.gen-ph]].
[23] D. Momeni, R. Myrzakulov, L. Sebastiani and M. R. Setare, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **12**, 1550015 (2015) [arXiv:1210.7965 [hep-th]].
[24] D. Momeni, A. Altaibayeva and R. Myrzakulov, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **11**, 1450091 (2014) [arXiv:1407.5662 [gr-qc]].
[25] S. Chattopadhyay, A. Jawad, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Astrophys. Space Sci. **353** (2014) no.1, 279 [arXiv:1406.2307 [gr-qc]].
[26] D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **11** (2014) no.08, 1450077 [arXiv:1405.5863 [gr-qc]].
[27] D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **129** (2014) 137 [arXiv:1404.0778 [gr-qc]].
[28] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **54** (2015) no.4, 1098 [arXiv:1309.3269 [gr-qc]].
[29] M. E. Rodrigues, M. J. S. Houndjo, J. Tossa, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, JCAP **1311** (2013) 024 [arXiv:1306.2280 [gr-qc]].
[30] M. U. Farooq, M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Can. J. Phys. **91** (2013) 703 [arXiv:1306.1637 [astro-ph.CO]].
[31] M. E. Rodrigues, M. J. S. Houndjo, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **22** (2013) 1350043 [arXiv:1302.4372 [physics.gen-ph]].
[32] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) 2267 [arXiv:1212.6017 [gr-qc]].
[33] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Gen. Rel. Grav. **45** (2013) 263 [arXiv:1211.3740 [physics.gen-ph]].
[34] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 2137 [arXiv:1210.0001 [physics.gen-ph]].
[35] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 2122 [arXiv:1209.1298 [gr-qc]].
[36] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 2075 [arXiv:1208.0025 [gr-qc]].
[37] W. El Hanafy and G. G. L. Nashed, Astrophys. Space Sci. **361** (2016) no.8, 266 [arXiv:1510.02337 [gr-qc]].
[38] M. Jamil, K. Yesmakhanova, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Central Eur. J. Phys. **10** (2012) 1065 [arXiv:1207.2735 [gr-qc]].
[39] M. J. S. Houndjo, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **21** (2012) 1250093 [arXiv:1206.3938 [physics.gen-ph]].

[40] M. I. Wanas, G. G. L. Nashed and O. A. Ibrahim, Chin. Phys. B **25** (2016) no.5, 050401.
[41] K. Bamba, G. G. L. Nashed, W. El Hanafy and S. K. Ibraheem, Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) no.8, 083513 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.083513 [arXiv:1604.07604 [gr-qc]].
[42] M. E. Rodrigues, M. J. S. Houndjo, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **22** (2013) 1350043 [arXiv:1302.4372 [physics.gen-ph]].
[43] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 1959 [arXiv:1202.4926 [physics.gen-ph]].
[44] W. El Hanafy and G. G. L. Nashed, Astrophys. Space Sci. **361** (2016) no.2, 68 [arXiv:1507.07377 [gr-qc]].
[45] T., Phys. Rev. D 84, 024042 (2011).
[46] C. G. , A. Mussa and N. Tamanini, Class. Quant.Grav. 28, 245020 (2011).
[47] M. Hamani Daouda, M. E. Rodrigues and
[48] M. J. S. Houndjo, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1817 (2011).
[49] M. Camenzind, "Compact Objects in Astrophysics", Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2007).
[50] R. C. Tolman. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc., 20, 169, 1934.
[51] R. C. Tolman. Phys. Rev., 55, 364, 1939.
[52] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff. Phys. Rev., 55, 374, 1939.
[53] A. V. Astashenok, S. Capozziello and S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:1401.4546 [gr-qc].
[54] G. Abbas, D. Momeni, M. A. Ali, R. Myrzakulov and S. Qaisar, arXiv:1501.00427 [gr-qc].
[55] A. V. Astashenok, S. Capozziello and S. D. Odintsov, JCAP **1312**, 040 (2013) [arXiv:1309.1978 [gr-qc]].
[56] S. Nojiri., S. D. Odintsov , Phys.Lett. B631,1(2005).
[57] E. Chabanat, P. Bonche, P. Haensel, J. Meyer, R. Schaeffer, Nucl. Phys. A **635**, 231 (1998).
[58] F. Douchin, P. Haensel, Phys. Lett. B **485**, 107 (2000).
[59] F. Douchin, P. Haensel, Astron. and Astroph. **380**, 151 (2001).
[60] V.R. Pandharipande, D.G. Ravenhall D.G., *Hot nuclear matter* in Nuclear Matter and Heavy Ion Collisions, Eds. M. Soyeur, H. Flocard, B.Tamain, and M. Porneuf (Dordrecht: Reidel), 103-132 (1989).
[61] S. Arapoglu, C. Deliduman, K. Yavuz Eksi, JCAP 1107, 020 (2011) [arXiv:1003.3179v3[gr-qc]].
[62] H. Alavirad, J.M. Weller, [arXiv:1307.7977v1[gr-qc]].
[63] S. Deser and R. P. Woodard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 111301 (2007) [arXiv:0706.2151 [astro-ph]].
[64] C. Deffayet and R. P. Woodard, JCAP **0908**, 023 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0961 [gr-qc]].
[65] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **659**, 821 (2008) [arXiv:0708.0924 [hep-th]].
[66] S. Jhingan, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, M. Sami, I. Thongkool and S. Zerbini, Phys. Lett. B **663**, 424 (2008) [arXiv:0803.2613 [hep-th]].
[67] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and M. Sasaki, Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 1321 (2012) [arXiv:1104.2692 [hep-th]].
[68] A. V. Astashenok, S. Capozziello and S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:1405.6663 [gr-qc].
[69] M. E. Rodrigues, M. J. S. Houndjo, J. Tossa, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, JCAP **1311** (2013) 024 [arXiv:1306.2280 [gr-qc]].
[70] C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 044033 (2012) [arXiv:1110.5756 [gr-qc]].
[71] M. Jamil, D. Momeni and R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) 2267 [arXiv:1212.6017 [gr-qc]].
[72] G. Abbas, G. Abbas, S. Qaisar, A. Jawad, S. Qaisar and A. Jawad, Astrophys. Space Sci. **359** (2015) no.2, 57 [arXiv:1509.06711 [physics.gen-ph]].
[73] G. Abbas, S. Qaisar and M. A. Meraj, Astrophys. Space Sci. **357** (2015) no.2, 156.
[74] M. Zubair and G. Abbas, Astrophys. Space Sci. **361** (2016) no.1, 27 [arXiv:1507.00247 [physics.gen-ph]].
[75] G. Abbas, A. Kanwal and M. Zubair, Astrophys. Space Sci. **357** (2015) no.2, 109 [arXiv:1501.05829 [physics.gen-ph]].
[76] S. C. Ulhoa and P. M. M. Rocha, Braz. J. Phys. **43**, 162 (2013) [arXiv:1206.3675 [gr-qc]].
[77] C. G. Boehmer, A. Mussa and N. Tamanini, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 245020 (2011) [arXiv:1107.4455 [gr-qc]].
[78] R. Weitzenboc̈k, Invariantentheorie, Noordhoff, Groningen (1923).
[79] C. Deliduman and B. Yapiskan, arXiv:1103.2225 [gr-qc].
[80] J. M. Lattimer , A. W. Steiner , Astrophys. J. **784**, 123 (2014) [arXiv:1305.3242 [astro-ph.HE]].
[81] X. D. Li , I. Bombaci ,M. Dey , J. Dey and E. P. J. van den Heuvel , Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3776 (1999) [hep-ph/9905356].
[82] D. A. Leahy , S. M. Morsink and C. Cadeau, Astrophys. J. **672**, 1119 (2008) [astro-ph/0703287 [ASTRO-PH]].
[83] L. Herrera , Phys. Lett. **A165**, 206(1992)